DIVISION OF LABOR STANDARDS ENFORCEMENT Department of Industrial Relations State of California BY: ANNE J. ROSENZWEIG (Bar No. 69337) 455 Golden Gate Ave., 9th Floor San Francisco, CA 94102 Telephone: (415) 703-4863 5 Attorney for the Labor Commissioner 6 BEFORE THE LABOR COMMISSIONER 7 OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 No. TAC 2-02JOHNNA XAVIER, an individual on behalf) of JORDAN XAVIER and JENNY XAVIER, Minors, DETERMINATION OF 10 Petitioners, CONTROVERSY 11 vs. 12 MITCHELL AGENCY, INC., 13 Respondents. 14 15

INTRODUCTION

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The above-captioned petition was filed on January 17, 2002 alleging that MITCHELL AGENCY INC., failed to pay petitioners' wages earned in connection with modeling services performed while represented by the respondent in violation of Labor Code \$1700.25(a). Respondent, through its attorney James A. Wattson, filed a Response to the Petition to Determine Controversy on February 4, 2002.

A hearing was scheduled before the undersigned attorney, specially designated by the Labor Commissioner to hear this matter. The hearing commenced on August 15, 2002 in San Francisco, California. Johnna Xavier appeared in propria persona and as guardian ad litem for her minor daughters Jordan Xavier

and Jenny Xavier. Respondent was represented by attorney James A. Wattson. The hearing record was held open for twenty (20 days), an initial ten (10) days for the Petitioner to submit documentary evidence regarding the date of receipt of previous payments from the Respondent and an additional ten (10 days) for the Respondent to submit any written response to the evidence submitted by the Petitioner. Both parties submitted written evidence to the hearing officer within the deadlines provided. Due consideration having been given to the testimony, documentary evidence and arguments presented, the Labor Commissioner adopts the following determination of controversy.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Respondent MITCHELL AGENCY, INC. was duly licensed as a talent agency by the State of California during 1999 and through September 17, 2001. Respondent has not been licensed as a talent agency by the State of California since September 18, 2001.
- 2. Petitioner JOHNNA XAVIER's minor daughters JORDAN XAVIER and JOHNNA XAVIER were artists who were represented by Respondent for photo shoots for Macy's, Mervyn's and the GAP in 1999 and 2000.
- 3. Jordan Xavier was paid by Respondent on March 31, 2000 for Mervyn's photo shoots she had done on December 1, 1999 and January 6, 2000 respectively.
- 4. Jordan Xavier was paid on December 10, 1999 for a Macy's photo shoot booked on August 4, 1999.
 - 5. Petitioner is seeking payment for the following seven

invoices which remain unpaid despite demand:

2	Date Worked	Subject of Shoo	t	Amount	Store
3	1. 5/25/00	Jenny		\$100.00	Macy's
4	2. 7/5/00	Jenny		\$100.00	Macy's
5	3. 7/31/00	Jenny		\$ 75.00	Mervyn's
6	4. 9/14/00	Jenny	•	\$150.00	GAP
7	5. 9/29/00	Jordan		\$ 37.50	Mervyn's
8	6. 10/4/00	Jenny	*	\$ 37.50	Mervyn's
9	7. 10/16/00	Jordan		\$ 50.00	Macy's
10			TOTAL	\$550.00	

6. Neither party introduced evidence about whether or when Respondent received payment on behalf of minor petitioners on any of these seven invoices.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Labor Code § 1700.44(c) requires that a Petition to

Determine Controversy be filed within one year of the alleged

violation(s). Respondent alleges that the violation occurs when

the model has not been paid 30 days after the date the services

are performed. However the "net 30 days" on the invoice is the

due date for the store to pay the agency, not the date the

payment is due from the agency to the artist.

Labor Code §1700.25 requires a talent agency to pay funds received on behalf of an artist to the artist, less the agent's commission, within 30 days of receipt. To determine the due date under this code section, it is necessary to know when payment is received by the agency. This information was not introduced by either party. In fact the records reportedly no longer exist,

since the talent agency is no longer operating in California.

As a talent agency, Respondent MITCHELL AGENCY, INC. had a fiduciary duty to Petitioners to obtain timely payment from the stores where Petitioners performed their services. Because of this fiduciary duty and the fact that Respondent introduced no evidence that payment was not received from the stores, it may be presumed that such payments were received by the agency.

It is clear from the record that MITCHELL AGENCY, INC. regularly paid invoices much more than 30 days after the services were performed: the only three invoices which Mitchell Agency Inc. has paid to Petitioners were paid 128 days, 85 days, and 108 days after the services were performed, respectively. No payment whatsoever has been received to date on the remaining seven invoices, which are the subject of the Petition to Determine Controversy in this case.

Since Petitioner had previously waited as long as 128 days for payment of an invoice, it was reasonable for her not to question a delay in payment nor to consider a payment delinquent until at least that period of time had passed since the services were performed. The violations will therefore be deemed to have occurred 128 days after each modeling job. The one year period of limitations provided in Labor Code § 1700.44 (c) accordingly bars all modeling jobs performed on or before September 11, 2000. Therefor, the three invoices for modeling jobs performed by Jenny on May 25, 2000 in the amount of \$100.00, July 5, 2000 in the amount of \$100.00, and July 31, 2000 in the amount of \$75.00, totaling a sum of \$275.00, are barred by the statute of limitations.

The Petition to Determine Controversy is deemed timely for the remaining four invoices. Respondent is determined to be liable to Petitioners for payment of these invoices. Respondent Mitchell Agency Inc. shall pay Petitioners within 10 days of receipt of this Determination of Controversy the sum of \$275.00 in unpaid earnings representing payment for the following modeling jobs: September 14, 2000 by Jenny for the GAP in the amount of \$150.00, September 29, 2000 by Jordan for Mervyn's in the amount of \$37.50, October 4, 2000 by Jenny for Mervyn's in the amount of \$37.50 and October 16, 2000 by Jordan for Macy's in the amount of \$50.00.

Dated: November 4, 2002

Dated: November 4, 2002

ANNE J. ROSENZWEIG

Attorney and Special Hearing Officer for the Labor Commissioner

ADOPTED AS THE DETERMINATION OF THE LABOR COMMISSIONER:

Dated: NOV, 4, 2002

ARTHUR S. LUJAN

State Labor Commissioner